IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6702 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6703 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6704 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6705 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6706 OF 2005

Mrs.Anjali P. Salvi. ..Applicant.

V/s.

Yashwant Kashinath Kamble & ors. ..Respondents.

Mr.Sudatta Patil for applicant.

Mrs.M.M.Deshmukh, APP for State.

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR,J

DATE : DECEMBER 14, 2005.

P.C. :

1. Heard. The grounds raised before this Court can be effectively answered by the Revisional Court.

2. As alternative efficacious remedy is available to the applicant by way of revision before the Sessions Court in view of the decision of this Court in the case of **V.K.Jain & Ors. vs. Pratap V.Padode reported in 2005(3) Mh.L.J. 778,** no interference is warranted.

3. These applications are disposed of with

liberty to take recourse to remedy of revision, if so advised. All questions are left open.

4. The applicant to file such Revision Application within four weeks from today. Till such time, no precipitative action be taken against the applicant. Revision Application, if filed within four weeks from today, be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

5. In case, there is delay in filing Revision Application, Court will take into account the fact that the applicant had first approached this Court, which period may be excused in computing delay.

6. Applications disposed of on the above terms.